DIGITAL ERGONOMICS IN EDUCATIONAL ENVIRONMENTS: OPTIMISING USER WELLBEING - A CRITICAL REVIEW

By

*Rawoofu Nisha, J., & **William Dharma Raja, B.

Research Scholar, Reg. No: 19124011042040, Department of Education, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India.
**Professor, Department of Education, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India.*

Abstract

Aim: *This review aims to comprehensively analyse the interplay between digital ergonomics and user well-being within educational settings. It seeks to identify existing challenges, propose solutions, and provide actionable recommendations for educators and policy architects to optimise digital learning environments for improved well-being.*

Rationale: *The rapid adoption of digital learning environments has drastically transformed educational paradigms, offering increased flexibility and accessibility. However, it is crucial to critically evaluate the impact of this digital shift on user well-being, considering its benefits and potential drawbacks. Digital ergonomics, a branch of human factors engineering focused on optimising digital systems for positive user interactions, is critical in ensuring well-being within educational contexts where digital tools are increasingly integrated into pedagogical approaches.*

Objectives:

1. *To identify and analyse how digital learning environments impact user well-being across physical, cognitive, and psychosocial domains.*

2. *To explore and synthesise existing research on digital ergonomic interventions that address the identified user well-being concerns.*

3. *To examine the significant challenges and limitations in implementing digital ergonomics practices in educational settings.*

4. *To provide actionable recommendations for educators and policy architects to promote digital ergonomics and enhance user well-being in educational environments.*

Keywords: *digital ergonomics, educational environments, user well-being, ergonomic interventions, cognitive load, psychosocial considerations*

Introduction

The rise of digital technologies has dramatically reshaped the evolution of educational environments. This digital transformation has yielded unprecedented flexibility and accessibility in learning, enabling individuals to engage with educational content anytime and anywhere (Schlund et al., 2022). However, this shift necessitates critically examining its impact on user well-being, encompassing its potential benefits and drawbacks (Nirmal et al., 2022).

The prevalence of digital learning is rising, with schools and universities increasingly relying on technology for instruction. (Timotheou et al., 2023). This reliance on technology raises concerns about the potential adverse effects on student well-being, leading to increased stress and potential health issues (Nirmal et al., 2022). Digital ergonomics, a specialised field in human factors engineering, optimises digital systems to promote positive user interactions and experiences (Dahibhate et al., 2023). Digital ergonomics is becoming increasingly important in educational settings, where digital tools are becoming increasingly integrated into pedagogical approaches (Sheikh & Duffy, 2021). Significantly, the scope of digital ergonomics extends beyond physical comfort, encompassing cognitive and social dimensions that significantly influence user well-being (Balica, 2021)

This review study aims to elucidate how optimising digital learning environments can bolster overall user well-being and contribute to more effective and health-conscious educational practices by comprehensive analysis.

Impact of Digital Technologies on User Wellbeing

Digital tools offer significant opportunities to enhance educational experiences; however, their impact is multifaceted and contingent upon various elements such as technological design and individual learning preferences (Timotheou et al., 2023).

The pervasive integration of digital technologies within educational environments has sparked concerns regarding their potential impact on

user well-being across physical, cognitive, and psychosocial domains.

Physical health

The pervasive use of digital technologies in educational environments can significantly impact physical health. Extended exposure to digital devices may result in discomfort and conditions affecting the musculoskeletal system, such as pain in the neck, backaches, and carpal tunnel syndrome (Odole et al., 2020), often attributed to poor posture, repetitive movements, and inadequate workstation ergonomics. Extensive screen time can also contribute to eye strain, dry eyes, blurred vision, and headaches (Rosenfield, 2011), with factors such as screen brightness, glare, and viewing distance playing a significant role. Furthermore, digital learning environments can promote sedentary behaviour, which is linked to various health risks such as obesity, cardiovascular disease, and type 2 diabetes (Tremblay et al., 2017).

Cognitive load

The increased reliance on digital technologies in educational settings can lead to a significant cognitive load on students. Digital environments often encourage multitasking and expose users to vast amounts of information, potentially exceeding their cognitive capacity and leading to decreased performance and increased stress (Xia & Wu, 2021). Poorly designed interfaces and navigation systems can further impose a significant cognitive load on users, hindering their ability to efficiently access and process information (Skulmowski & Xu, 2022). Additionally, prolonged engagement with digital technologies can contribute to cognitive fatigue, diminished attention span, difficulty concentrating, and impaired decision-making (Gumasing et al., 2023).

Psychosocial well-being

The integration of digital technologies in education also raises concerns about psychosocial well-being. The shift towards digital communication can diminish in-person interactions, potentially fostering feelings of isolation and loneliness among users (Elmer & Stadtfeld, 2020). Unfortunately, the anonymity and widespread access provided by digital

platforms can facilitate cyberbullying and online harassment, posing significant threats to one's mental and emotional well-being. (Lim et al., 2023). Intensive and unregulated engagement with digital media may also result in technology addiction, marked by withdrawal symptoms and adverse effects on daily activities (Pellegrino et al., 2022). Furthermore, exposure to blue light from screens can interfere with sleep patterns, leading to issues like insomnia, which may aggravate mental health problems, including anxiety and depression (Exelmans, 2019). It is crucial to identify and address these issues to guarantee that digital learning spaces promote educational success and nurture the overall well-being of participants.

Interventions for Optimising User Well-being through Digital Ergonomics

Interventions across three key domains—physical, cognitive, and psychosocial—must be considered to foster well-being in digital learning spaces. This section explores the interventions that can significantly improve the user experience and promote a healthful learning atmosphere.

Physical interventions

Physical interventions focus on mitigating the physical risks associated with extended digital use. Adjustable furniture, such as chairs, desks, and keyboards, can significantly enhance physical comfort and mitigate the risk of musculoskeletal disorders linked to extended screen time (Odunaiya et al., 2014). Training users on optimal workstation setup and posture can alleviate physical discomfort and encourage the adoption of healthier habits (University of Washington Environmental Health & Safety, 2018). Encouraging periodic breaks and physical activity during digital learning can further counter sedentary tendencies and associated health risks (Lynch et al., 2022).

Cognitive interventions

Cognitive interventions aim to minimise the cognitive strain associated with digital learning environments. Crafting intuitive user-centric interfaces accommodating various learning styles can reduce

cognitive load and bolster learning outcomes (Alhadreti, 2021). Employing strategies like chunking information and providing succinct instructions can further lessen the cognitive burden and sharpen focus (Edwards et al., 2021). Ensuring digital platforms have clear instructions and user-friendly navigation can diminish frustration and streamline learning (Vernon, 2023). Finally, incorporating accessibility features and adhering to inclusive design principles can make digital learning environments universally accessible, ensuring everyone can benefit from the technology (Ravet & Mtika, 2021).

Psychosocial interventions

Psychosocial interventions aim to address the social and emotional aspects of digital learning. Teaching responsible technology use and digital citizenship can cultivate a respectful and positive online learning environment (Cyber Citizenship, 2024). Creating meaningful online interactions and community-building opportunities can alleviate isolation and boost engagement (Tsang et al., 2023). Addressing cyberbullying and providing mental health resources is vital for ensuring a safe and supportive learning experience (Boyland, n.d.). Finally, advocating for balanced digital media use and good sleep practices can enhance well-being and academic performance (Lund et al., 2021). By implementing these multifaceted ergonomic interventions, educational institutions can create digital learning environments conducive to learning and prioritise users' physical, cognitive, and psychosocial well-being.

Challenges in Implementing Digital Ergonomics in Educational Environments

The integration of digital ergonomics into educational settings offers numerous advantages, yet its broad and practical application faces several notable challenges:

Awareness and understanding: A fundamental barrier is the lack of comprehensive understanding among educators and stakeholders regarding the principles and advantages of digital ergonomics. This knowledge gap can significantly slow down or even prevent adopting ergonomic practices that could enhance learning environments (Brunner et al., 2022).

Resource availability: Implementing ergonomic solutions typically demands investments in specialised equipment, software, and training programs. Unfortunately, many educational institutions face resource constraints that limit their ability to access these necessary tools, thus impeding ergonomic integration (Ravet & Mtika, 2021).

Diversity in user needs: The diverse nature of users' needs, preferences, and capabilities challenges the one-size-fits-all approach often seen in ergonomic solutions. Acknowledging and accommodating individual differences in physical abilities, learning styles, and cognitive capacities is essential for effective ergonomic design (Chen & Wang, 2021).

Technological evolution: The rapid and continuous development of digital technologies requires adaptable and flexible ergonomic practices. Maintaining up-to-date knowledge of technological progress and ensuring that new gadgets and platforms are designed for ergonomic use demands continuous work and investment. (Albolino et al., 2020).

Impact assessment: Evaluating the success of ergonomic interventions is not straightforward. It involves developing and employing robust methods and metrics that can accurately measure the impact on users' well-being and educational outcomes (Pereira et al., 2020).

Tackling these issues demands a strategic plan involving increasing awareness, adept resource management, tailoring ergonomic solutions to individual needs, staying abreast of tech advancements, and developing dependable techniques for assessing the impact of ergonomic measures. Such strategies are vital for fostering digital learning environments that prioritise all users' educational and well-being needs.

Limitations in Implementing Digital Ergonomics in Educational Environments

Integrating digital ergonomics within educational settings is crucial for fostering healthy and productive learning environments. However, the implementation process faces several limitations:

Awareness and understanding: A fundamental barrier is educators' and institutions' lack of awareness and understanding of digital ergonomics principles. To combat this, targeted educational programs and workshops can be developed to increase knowledge and appreciation of ergonomic principles in digital learning (Brunner et al., 2022).

Resource constraints: Financial limitations often restrict access to ergonomic equipment. Innovative solutions include advocating for low-cost or no-cost ergonomic practices, such as Do It Yourself (DIY) furniture adjustments and free software that encourages regular breaks and proper posture (Sharma & Cotton, 2023).

Individual differences: The diverse needs of learners, influenced by factors like age and physical abilities, limit the implementation of a uniform ergonomic solution. Adaptive technologies catering to a broader spectrum of needs can help address this issue, ensuring digital ergonomics can be personalised (Souchet et al., 2023).

Technological evolution: The swift advancement of technology necessitates continuously adapting ergonomic practices. Establishing a framework for regularly reviewing and updating these practices can ensure educational institutions remain current with technological trends (Bowen et al., 2022).

Evaluating interventions: Evaluating the success of ergonomic interventions presents challenges. Utilizing a diverse set of evaluation methods, including both quantitative and qualitative data, can offer a fuller insight into how they affect users' well-being. (Cagnin et al., 2021).

These limitations underscore the need for a collaborative and multifaceted approach to digital ergonomics in education. By addressing these limitations, users can create digital learning environments that not only support academic achievement but also promote the overall well-being of users.

Future Research Directions

This review exercise has underscored the pivotal role of digital ergonomics in

enhancing user well-being within educational settings. However, the journey to fully understand and optimise these environments continues. The following research avenues are critical for advancing our knowledge:

Longitudinal methodologies: Future studies should employ longitudinal methodologies to track the enduring effects of digital technology on well-being. Variables such as eye strain, musculoskeletal health, attention span, and social interaction warrant extended observation to discern long-term trends and causal relationships (Verma & Atreya, 2023).

Scalable & adaptable interventions: The development and evaluation of ergonomic interventions must be context-specific, scalable, and adaptable. Adapting solutions to cater to the varied demands of different educational environments is crucial. Such a strategy guarantees that measures are suitable for a wide range of users, considering factors like age, educational needs, and digital skills (Gumasing et al., 2023).

Standardised assessment frameworks: A standardised assessment framework should guide comparative research into intervention strategies. This framework will facilitate the identification of the most impactful and cost-efficient methods, such as software modifications, user training, and environmental adjustments, for enhancing user well-being (Lim et al., 2023).

Interdisciplinary exploration: An interdisciplinary exploration into the interplay of physical, cognitive, and psychosocial factors is necessary. Such research can lead to holistic interventions that address the multifaceted nature of user well-being in digital learning environments (Baber, 2022).

Iterative design & user participation: User-centered research and participatory design are paramount. By involving end-users in the design and evaluation process, interventions can be refined iteratively, ensuring they meet the real-world needs and experiences of learners and educators (Biswas, 2020).

Embracing these research directions will deepen user understanding of the intricate relationship between digital ergonomics and user well-being.

Recommendations

The well-being of students in digital learning environments is paramount. Educators and policy architects must prioritise the following recommendations to create healthier and more supportive learning experiences.

For Educators

The following recommendations offer educators practical steps to promote digital ergonomics and enhance classroom student well-being.

Integrating ergonomics into teaching: Educators should embed digital ergonomics within lesson planning and delivery. For instance, they could adopt lesson plans incorporating ergonomic breaks and exercises, ensuring students maintain proper posture during screen time. Additionally, classrooms could be arranged with adjustable seating and desks to accommodate diverse student needs.

Minimising cognitive load: It is essential to design learning activities that reduce cognitive load. This can be achieved judiciously by using multimedia elements and providing clear, concise instructions to enhance understanding and retention.

Building online communities: Creating a community in digital spaces is vital. Educators can achieve this through interactive activities that promote collaboration and transparent communication, fostering a sense of belonging among students.

Educating on digital habits: Teaching healthy digital habits is crucial. Educators should teach students ergonomic practices, such as proper screen positioning and regular breaks, to prevent discomfort. Moreover, incorporating digital tools like ergonomic reminder apps can help students maintain these habits.

Advocating for ergonomic tools: Educators should advocate for adopting ergonomically designed digital tools. Collaborating with technology providers can ensure that digital platforms are user-friendly and adaptable, promoting ergonomic use.

For Policy Architects

Prioritising the well-being of students in a digital world demands a comprehensive approach from policy architects. The following recommendations outline critical areas for action.

Setting ergonomics standards: Policy architects should develop standards for digital ergonomics in educational technologies. This includes guidelines for user interface design and functionalities that support user well-being.

Resource allocation: Allocating resources for digital ergonomics training and support is essential. Providing professional development for educators and supporting schools in ergonomic assessments can lead to more effective implementation.

Promoting research and development: Investing in research on digital ergonomics can inform better practices. Encouraging collaboration between various stakeholders can lead to innovative solutions that enhance digital learning environments.

By embracing these recommendations, educators and policy architects can significantly contribute to creating digital learning environments that support user well-being, leading to a healthier, more equitable, and more productive educational experience for all.

Conclusion

This critical review explores the intricate relationship between digital ergonomics and user well-being within educational settings. Digital technologies have revolutionised learning and teaching, offering unparalleled benefits. However, users must not overlook the potential adverse effects on physical, cognitive, and psychosocial health. The findings of this review emphasise the necessity of integrating ergonomic interventions in these areas to enhance digital learning spaces. Employing ergonomic furniture, user-centric interface design, and healthy digital habits can profoundly improve the user experience and cultivate a constructive learning atmosphere.

Nevertheless, users face challenges, including a lack of awareness, resource

limitations, and the imperative for continuous adaptation to rapidly advancing technologies. To surmount these obstacles, future research must concentrate on longitudinal studies, tailor-made interventions, and the complex dynamics between physical, cognitive, and psychosocial elements. Educators and policy architects are urged to embrace the recommendations. By doing so, users can forge digital learning environments that not only bolster academic achievement but also holistically nurture the well-being of every participant. Therefore, the users commit to ensuring that the digital revolution in education leads to a more healthful, inclusive, and profoundly enriching learning journey for all. As users look ahead, they recognise the growing significance of digital ergonomics and its vital role in shaping the future of education.

References
****

Albolino, S., Beleffi, E., & Thatcher, A. (2020). Ergonomics in a rapidly changing world. *Ergonomics*, *63*(3), 241-242.

Alhadreti, O. (2021). When should users be involved in the design process? *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 145, 102495.

Alilonis, J. (2019). User-centred research and design of a K-5 digital literacy curriculum. In P. Zaphiris & A. Ioannou (Eds.), *Learning and collaboration technologies*. Designing Learning Experiences (Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 11590).

Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-21814-0_7

Baber, H. (2022). Social interaction and effectiveness of the online learning – A moderating role of maintaining social distance during the pandemic COVID-19. *Asian Education and Development Studies*, *11*(1), 159–171. https://doi.org/10.1108/AEDS-09-2020-0209

Baheti, R., Ray, M., Shyam, A., & Sancheti, P. (2021). Awareness of ergonomics for online teaching in school teachers. *International Journal of Community Medicine and Public Health*, pp. 8, 5446.

https://doi.org/10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph20214286

Balica, M. (2021). *Supporting student well-being in a digital learning environment.* International Baccalaureate. Retrieved February 13, 2024, from https://ibo.org/research/wellbeingresearch/supporting-student-wellbeing-in-a-digital-learning-environment-2021/

Biswas, P. (2020, October 19). *Dressing up your user-interface design with ergonomic guidelines.* Unified Infotech Inc. https://medium.com/@UnifiedInfotech

Bowen, D. E., III, Frésard, L., & Hoberg, G. (2022). Rapidly Evolving Technologies and Startup Exits. *Management Science*.

Boyland, J. (n.d.). Protecting students from cyberbullying in the virtual classroom. EdTech Magazine. https://edtechmagazine.com

Brunner, S., Knott, V., & Bengler, K. (2022). Lean Ergonomics—are relevant synergies of digital human models and digital twins defining a new emerging subdiscipline? *Zeitschrift für Arbeitswissenschaft*, *76*(4), 401–415.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s41449-022-00311-0

Cagnin, A., Ricci, M. G., & Buratti, N. (2021). Assessing the effectiveness of an ergonomics intervention program on human resources productivity, musculoskeletal disorders, general health, and occupational fatigue in a steel industry. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, *94*(8), 1629–1640.

Chen, S. Y., & Wang, J. H. (2021). Individual differences and personalized learning: A review and appraisal. *Universal Access in the Information Society*, 20, 833–849. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10209-020-00730-2

Cyber Citizenship. (2024). *Digital citizenship 101: Responsible online behavior.* https://www.cybercitizenship.org

Dahibhate, G., Shinde, R., & Sakle, N. (2023). The use of digital human modeling in ergonomic design and product development. *Journal of the Institution of Engineers (India): Series C*, 104, 1133–1138. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40032-023-00982-5

Edwards, B. I., Shukor, N., & Mohamed, H. (2021). Reducing cognitive load in emerging digital learning environments through peer instruction. In B. I. Edwards, N. A. Shukor, & A. D. Cheok (Eds.), *Emerging Technologies for Next Generation Learning Spaces.* Springer. https://doi.org/10.100 7/978-981-16-3521-2_7

Elmer, T., & Stadtfeld, C. (2020). Depressive symptoms are associated with social isolation in face-to-face interaction network s. *Scientific Reports*, 10, Article 1444. https://doi.org/10.1 038/ s 41598-020-58297-9

Exelmans, L. (2019). Electronic media use and sleep: A self-control perspective. *Current Sleep Medicine Reports*, 5, 135–140. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40675-019-00147-w

Gumasing, M. J. J., Cruz, I. S. V. D., Piñon, D. A. A., Rebong, H. N. M., & Sahagun, D. L. P. (2023). Ergonomic factors affecting the learning motivation and academic attention of SHS students in distance learning. *Sustainability*, 15(12), 9202. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15129202

International Ergonomics Association. (n.d.). What is ergonomics? https://iea.cc/about/what-is-erg onomics/

Lim, W., Lau, B. T., & Islam, F. M. A. (2023). Cyberbullying awareness intervention in digital and non-digital environment for youth: Current knowledge. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28, 6869–6925. https://doi.org/10.1 007/s10639-022-11472-z

Lund, L., Solvhoj, I. N., Danielsen, D., et al. (2021). Electronic media use and sleep in children and adolescents in western countries: A systematic review. *BMC Public Health*, 21, 1598. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s12889-021-11640-9

Lynch, J., O'Donoghue, G., & Peiris, C. L. (2022). Classroom movement breaks and physically active learning are feasible, reduce sedentary behaviour and fatigue, and may increase focus in university students: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *19*(13), 7775. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph1 9137775

Nirmal, K., Adalarasu, K., & Krishna, T. A. (2022). Analysis of ergonomic issues faced by students and teachers in online education. In N. K. Rana, A. A. Shah, R. Iqbal, & V. Khanzode (Eds.), *Technology Enabled Ergonomic Design* (pp. 1-10). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-6982-8_6

Odole, A. C., Olutoge, D. A., Awosoga, O., Mbada, C. E., Fatoye, C., et al. (2020). Musculoskeletal pain and postural abnormalities among smartphone-using digital natives. *Journal of Musculoskeletal Disorders and Treatment*, 6, 089. https://doi.org/10.23937/2572-3243.1510089

Odunaiya, N. A., Owonuwa, D. D., & Oguntibeju, O. O. (2014). Ergonomic suitability of educational furniture and possible health implications in a university setting. *Advances in Medical Education and Practice*, 5, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.2147/AMEP.S54310

Pellegrino, A., Stasi, A., & Bhatiasevi, V. (2022). Research trends in social media addiction and problematic social media use: A bibliometric analysis. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 13, 1017506. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2022.1017506

Pereira, M., Driscoll, T. R., & Trinkoff, A. M. (2020). Ergonomic interventions for preventing work-related musculoskeletal disorders of the upper limb and neck among office workers. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, *2020*(10), CD008570. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD008570.pub3

Ravet, J., & Mtika, P. (2021). Educational inclusion in resource-constrained contexts: A study of rural primary schools in Cambodia. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*. https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2021.1922524

Rosenfield, M. (2011). Computer vision syndrome: A review of ocular causes and potential treatments. *Ophthalmic & Physiological Optics*, *31*(5), 502-515. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-1313.2011.00834.x

Schemer, C., Masur, P. K., Geiß, S., Müller, P., & Schäfer, S. (2021). The impact of internet and social media use on well-being: A longitudinal analysis of

adolescents across nine years. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, *26*(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1093/jcmc/zmaa014

Schlund, S., Kamusella, C., Knott, V., et al. (2022). Digital ergonomics and digital work planning in university education: experiences from Germany and Austria. *Zeitschrift für Arbeitswissenschaft, 76*(4), 510–524. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41449-022-00333-7

Sharma, P., & Cotton, D. (2023). Resource-efficient ergonomic practices in digital learning. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, *71*(1), 45–59. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-022-10125-2

Sheikh, A., & Duffy, V. G. (2021). Ergonomics training and evaluations in a digital world using collaborative technologies: A bibliometric analysis. In C. Stephanidis et al. (Eds.), *HCI International 2021* - Late breaking papers: HCI applications in health, transport, and industry (pp. 1–10). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-90966-6_43

Skulmowski, A., & Xu, K. M. (2022). Understanding cognitive load in digital and online learning: a new perspective on extraneous cognitive load. *Educational Psychology Review*, 34, 171–196. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-021-09624-7

Souchet, A. D., Lourdeaux, D., Pagani, A., & Rebenitsch, L. (2023). A narrative review of immersive virtual reality's ergonomics and risks at the workplace: cybersickness, visual fatigue, muscular fatigue, acute stress, and mental overload. *Virtual Reality*, *27*(1), 19–50. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-022-00720-2

Timotheou, S., Miliou, O., Dimitriadis, Y., Villagrá Sobrino, S., Giannoutsou, N., Cachia, R., Martínez Monés, A., & Ioannou, A. (2023). Impacts of digital technologies on education and factors influencing schools' digital capacity and transformation: A literature review. *Educational Information Technology*, *28*(6), 6695-6726. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11529-0

Tremblay, M. S., Aubert, S., Barnes, J. D., Saunders, T. J., Carson, V.,

Latimer-Cheung, A. E., ... & Colley, R. C. (2017). Sedentary behavior research network (SBRN) – terminology consensus project process and outcome. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 14*(1), 75. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-017-0525-8

Tsang, S. M. H., Cheing, G. L. Y., Lam, A. K. C., Siu, A. M. H., Pang, P. C. K., Yip, K.-C., Chan, J. W. K., & Jensen, M. P. (2023). Excessive use of electronic devices among children and adolescents is associated with musculoskeletal symptoms, visual symptoms, psychosocial health, and quality of life: a cross-sectional study. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 11, 1178769. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1178769

University of Washington Environmental Health & Safety. (2018). *Computer workstation adjustment guidelines.* https://www.ehs.washington.edu/system/files/resources/computer-workstation-guidelines_0.pdf

Verma, A., & Atreya, S. (2023). Ergonomic concerns in online education. *In Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies*, 343, 26-371. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-7084-7_3

Vernon, B. (2023, October 10). Designing intuitive navigation for websites and apps. *Bootcamp. https://bootcamp.uxdesign.cc/designing-intuitive-navigation-for-websites-and-apps-3b1ad1a445de*

Xia, X., & Wu, W. (2021). User experience of virtual reality interfaces based on cognitive load. In T. Z. Ahram & C. S. Falcão (Eds.), *Advances in Usability, User Experience, Wearable and Assistive Technology* (vol. 275). *Springer*. https://doi.org/10.1007/ 978-3-030-80091-8_40

To cite this article
****

****

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Rawoofu Nisha, J. is a Research Scholar pursuing a Ph.D. in Education at Manonmaniam Sundaranar University. She holds master's degrees in Education (M.Ed.), Mathematics (M.Sc.), Psychology (M.Sc.), and Business Administration (MBA). She has also qualified the UGC-NET in Education. A published author of several books and articles, she has recently completed extensive training in AI-enhanced pedagogy and academic writing.

Prof. B. William Dharma Raja is a distinguished academician and currently serves as Chairman of the School of Education at Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, with 36 years of teaching experience. He holds multiple postgraduate degrees, including an M.Sc. in Physics, M.A. in Psychology, M.H.R.M., M.Ed., M.Phil. in Education, PGDCA, and a Ph.D. in Education. He is widely recognized for his expertise in Cognitive Psychology and Educational Technology.

Prof. Dharma Raja has made significant contributions to academia, with 278 journal publications, 37 book chapters, 8 books, 2 textbooks, 39 edited volumes, and 8 course materials to his credit. As a seasoned administrator, he has undertaken 43 major responsibilities at the university level and actively participated in 132 committees. His service includes membership in 14 academic councils, 6 governing bodies, 22 interview boards, and 21 external examiner panels.

He has delivered 306 invited talks and 32 keynote or guest addresses, and has participated in over 200 seminars and workshops. He has organized 173 academic events and introduced several pedagogical innovations, such as a 50-hour orientation programme, Human Library initiatives, and a unique UGC NET training series featuring 24 resource persons.

His international academic engagements span visits to 10 countries. He has received 4 international and 10 national awards for his outstanding contributions. Notably, he led a major institutional project under the Ministry of Education's PMMMNMTT scheme, securing Rs.11.5 crores in funding, along with a UGC-funded project worth Rs.4.12 lakhs.

A visionary academic leader, Prof. Dharma Raja continues to influence research culture, policy, and pedagogy with unwavering commitment and excellence.

****